

# Postgraduate Research Experience Survey 2013 Response



# Contents

Contents	<b>2</b>
Introduction	<b>3</b>
Satisfaction by Department	<b>4</b>
Improvements and Declines since 2011	<b>5</b>
Supervision	<b>6</b>
Resources	<b>7</b>
Research Culture	<b>10</b>
Responsibilities	<b>11</b>
Research Skills	<b>13</b>
Professional Development	<b>14</b>
Opportunities	<b>16</b>
Overall Experience	<b>19</b>

# Introduction

It is a great pleasure to see the results of the Postgraduate Research Experience Survey 2013, and to note that both the participation and satisfaction of students in the survey have greatly increased since 2011. First and foremost, everyone involved in these achievements should be congratulated, and the Union would like to commend the College on the improvement.

Satisfaction is, nonetheless, not yet 100%, and so this report is written in the hope that – after speaking with postgraduate research students from across the College – the Union can help identify areas that would assist the College on improving further. Overall, BioEngineering, Earth Science & Engineering and Clinical Science PhD students are the most satisfied, with Business School and Mechanical Engineering PhDs satisfaction below the College average. Since the 2011 Survey, BioEngineering, the Centre for Environmental Policy and Clinical Sciences have improved the most, with satisfaction in Mechanical Engineering and Molecular Biosciences declining. Recommendations here hope to turn ‘mostly agree’ and ‘neither agree or disagree’ into ‘definitely agree’.



Paul Beaumont  
**President**

E: [president@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:president@imperial.ac.uk)  
T: 020 7594 8060, extension: 58061

The Union has completed the report based on conversations with the Graduate Students’ Union (GSU) representatives, postgraduates not involved with the GSU, best practice from around the College and sector, and from PRES data 2011 and 2013.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul Beaumont'.

**Paul Beaumont**

President 2012/13

# Satisfaction by Department

## Most Satisfied

Cell and Molecular Biology	4.354839
BioEngineering	4.326531
Clinical Sciences	4.277778
ESE	4.264151
Chemistry	4.125
Computing	4.09589
EEE	4.088608
Molecular Biosciences	4.08
Materials	4.044118
School of Public Health	4.038462
NHLI	4.017241
Chemical Engineering	4.013514
Surgery and Cancer	3.987805
Civil Engineering	3.984615
Medicine	3.977941
Mathematics	3.970588
Aeronautics	3.956522
Physics	3.944444
Centre for Environmental Policy	3.9375
Humanities	3.8
Mechanical Engineering	3.764706
Ecology and Evolution	3.733333
Business School	3.451613

## Least Satisfied

Average score for Question 17: Overall Satisfaction

# Improvements and Declines since 2011

## Most Improved

Humanities	0.519542
CEP	0.420267
Clinical Sci	0.353331
BioEng	0.269742
NHLI	0.212657
Chemistry	0.190665
Surgery	0.182975
Medicine	0.176414
Materials	0.176398
Maths	0.173217
ESE	0.171454
Business	0.168481
Cell	0.157814
Computing	0.130008
Physics	0.109684
ChemEng	0.095134
Aero 2013	0.091768
School of Public Health	0.091423
EEE	0.072939
Ecology	0.018247
CivEng	-0.00622
Molecular Bio	-0.05517
MechEng	-0.07855

## Least Improved

## Declined

Average of difference between 2011 and 2013 scores for questions that were identical/similar in the surveys.

# Supervision

BEST PRACTICE:  
Humanities & Clinical Science

80%  
SATISFACTION

## RECOMMENDATION 1

Imperial College Union would only envisage a proportion of students wishing to use a system such as this – it very much depends on the relationship the student has with their supervisor. Some students have very formal relationships – in which a system such as this may be helpful – where as others enjoy a relaxed link for which this system would appear bureaucratic. If such a system were to assist a particular ‘type’ of student-supervisor relationship though, the Union still believes this is a worthwhile project for investigation. A logging system could track 6 and 9 month reviews and could reduce the amount of paperwork floating around. The system could flag to the supervisor when to start encouraging students to investigate careers advice, and

For the College to develop and offer a supervisor-student monitoring process like the planned UG personal tutor logging system.

other similar opportunities that occur at strategic points in a PhD students’ course (i.e., when Literary Review workshops are being held, just prior to their own Literary Review is due). In the climate of strenuous visa requirements, a digital logging system may be beneficial for proving to the Home Office that the College does have regular contact with its PhD students.

More students than in 2011 are now “aware of...supervisors’ responsibilities towards me as a research degree student”, but we believe a monitoring process for those who don’t may help.

The ‘Website Portal’ being currently considered by the Graduate School could potentially satisfy this recommendation.

BEST PRACTICE:

Clinical Science, BioEngineering, Mathematics & ESE

## RECOMMENDATION 2

For the College to standardise the experience PhD, DTC and MRes students have when first starting their course.

For PhD students, there is no advertised 'start' point: it is left for the supervisor and student to mutually organise the first meeting, or to meet the group. We believe the departmental administrators could play a greater part in facilitating this initial meeting as some PhDs don't know 'what to do' to start with. DTC students really like that their DTC creates a 'cohort'. The DTC programme manager facilitates the initial meeting as described above – and we think that in this would be a nice mechanism to try replicate across all students.

MRes students have different needs to students on a three year course. Getting set-up, finding accommodation, finding their feet, finding results and then finishing up within a year is harder than over three years. Similar to PhD students, (but in different capacities), the more support available to MRes students to facilitate getting them started in their studies the better.

As students studying for a PhD progress on their course, students outside of the DTCs feel that there is some disparity in the experience they receive. Non-DTC students feel DTC students have a clear advantage in their research. Student supervisors within the DTCs anecdotally take risks as they have an 'extra year' to try new things, and will invest more time with their students, on cutting edge things, rather than non-DTC students who feel as though they are given more laborious tasks to complete.

Interestingly and positively, most departments saw an increase in satisfaction between 2011 and 2013 with many students stating that they now have "suitable working space" overall. The Union is supportive of the initiative to introduce cohort leaders in Autumn 2013, and hopefully this will go some way to matching the activities and interactions a programme manager facilitates within a DTC.

## Resources cont...

### RECOMMENDATION 3

Some supervisors buy extra cloud data storage for backing up experimental data for their research group. This is fine for research groups that can afford to purchase this from College ICT, but a resource that we believe makes sense to be available 'as standard' to research groups, not an 'optional extra'. Access

For the College to standardise the computing resources available to research student's data storage.

such as this would help in cases where students lose data and their work, as well as in case College needs access in the future (for investigations, etc.). Currently the service available from College ICT is cited as too expensive for most research groups.

### RECOMMENDATION 4

Postgraduate students feel that the Careers Service has comprehensive information on their website, but that this resource requires better advertising to students, as many are unaware of its existence. Students did however feel that the number of careers service emails needs to reduce, they are not the optimum way of contacting students.

For the College and Union to work together to ensure more effective advertising of career opportunities and advice.

Whilst around 50% of our research students go on to become a postdoc researcher, the other 50% will appreciate the resources the Careers Advisory Service offers in order to gain employment.



## Resources cont...

### RECOMMENDATION 5

Purchasing system and controls vary by department across the College. Whilst the purchasing department in the College are able to bulk buy and gain advantage of economies of scale, the procedures research students must follow to submit requests to purchasing mean inter-departmental buying isn't happening as often as it could be.

For the College to consider a more central approach to purchasing, or equivalent procedures between departments.

Currently, some students are encouraged to buy with their own money and reclaim the money purely because it's faster than following the centralised purchasing process. As well as resulting in less efficient buying, this also puts strains on students' short-term finances.

### RECOMMENDATION 6

Although responsibility should not fall to the students to pay for resources, in practice sometimes this does occur and they will have genuine expenses claims to submit. The Union has invested heavily in a custom built finance system – eActivities – that all students involved in running a club or society at Imperial will be used to using to manage their society's finances and interactions with the Central Union. This largely automated process allows the Union's finance team to efficiently process claims uploaded to the system for students. This allows the Union to process claims in less than half the time the College is able to.

For the College to consider an online claims system similar to the Union uses for processing clubs and society claims.

The Union is always happy to showcase to anyone interested all the features of the system – it is truly one of the most advanced systems in students' unions and the principles behind it readily adapted for other purposes. The College should either change its policies to what happens on the ground, and implement an online system for claims for students, or ensure that research groups have the necessary support to purchase resources without students spending their own money.

## RECOMMENDATION 7

For the College and Union to work together to improve the communications of procedures.

A clear process for when 'things go wrong' or when issues develop with a supervisor exists, but is badly communicated or advertised to students. The supervisor-student relationship defines the experience a PGR student will have – the process for when things do, and inevitably will, occasionally go wrong - needs better communication.

This could include the processes being covered during inductions, a greater web presence for the procedures (i.e. a requirement for them to be clearly linked on departmental websites), or a requirement for a link to be included in the footer of any departmental emails sent to postgraduates.

# Progress and Achievement

75%  
SATISFACTION

BEST PRACTICE:

BioEngineering, Earth Science & Engineering,  
Clinical Science & Humanities

## RECOMMENDATION 8

For the College to standardise rules and procedures across departments.

The Union would initially like to see minimum expectations on rules and procedures set for everyone across the graduate school, as we are aware of the difficulties in reaching consensus across all departments. Whilst it is important for departments to have a certain amount of autonomy, without minimum expectations or requirements some students can end up losing out or having a noticeably different student experience. Problems with satisfaction leading from differences between departments is a problem experienced by both undergraduate and postgraduate students.

For example, reports at nine months differ across departments. Students in Physics have to submit a 40 page report; Chemistry students submit a two page report and a poster. These requirements should be rationalised/normalised through setting of minimum and maximum expectations (for example a 5-10 page report).

Between 2011 and 2013, more students did state that they “understand the requirements and deadlines for formal monitoring of my progress”, but better communication is still needed before this becomes embedded.

# Responsibilities

75%  
SATISFACTION

BEST PRACTICE:

Humanities, BioEngineering, Clinical Science

## RECOMMENDATION 9

For the College to improve mentor provision.

Mentors, someone a student should be able to talk to should any problem arise and they feel uncomfortable going to their supervisor, or are unable to for any reason, should be valued. Some students are not being allocated a mentor.

Mentors should be external to the research group or centre, but probably not external to the department so that they can understand the dynamic of the department and can help the student. Some students are allocated mentors in their research centre, which can make

students feel uneasy about contacting them, should the issue they wish to discuss be regarding their supervisor.

The Union supports the principle that mentors should be selected for their willingness to take on the role: whilst this leads to a smaller number of mentors who are responsible for a greater number of students, the positions will be filled by individuals particularly engaged in the roles.

# Research Skills

85%  
SATISFACTION

BEST PRACTICE:

BioEngineering, Earth Science & Engineering,  
Clinical Science

## RECOMMENDATION 10

For the College to publish a clearer policy on group plagiarism.

Students, specifically in the Business School, have noted confusion over the College's Group Plagiarism policy, or how it is being applied. We believe that this is currently being investigated but would support any policy that is clear for both students and staff to understand, abide by and apply.

# Professional Development

76%  
SATISFACTION

BEST PRACTICE:  
Dept of Surgery & Cancer

## RECOMMENDATION 11

For the College and Union to work together to increase advertising of Outreach programmes and increase links with schools.

As with other recommendations, before they can fully achieve this the College will need to stop supervisors from discouraging their students from being involved with anything not to do with the PhD.

A 'help' scheme whereby PhD students assist school teachers exists, but is yet to become a College wide initiative.

Any support the College can give, whether it be training students involved in participating alongside GTAs, giving students recognition (like GTAs) for the Outreach work, or by adding this scheme to the cohort building programme would be a positive initiative to undertake, for the College and the community.

# Professional Development cont...

## RECOMMENDATION 12

For the College to review the School of Professional Development course offerings at specific times of the year.

The Union would like to see the School adopt a smarter marketing strategy that highlights relevant courses at specific points in a students' programme (i.e., a viva course when they are about to submit). Currently, some students go on a literary review course after submitting their first literary review, but we believe this could be avoided by a more personalised listing of the available courses, or, a potentially simpler, a clearer directory of when courses are relevant to what stages of a PhD.

Satisfaction with "opportunities to become involved in the wider research community, beyond my department" declined between 2011 and 2013 across most departments, and so we would propose this recommendation is treated as urgent.

## RECOMMENDATION 13

For the Union and College to support 'informal' groups of postgraduate students who engage in social activities.

As with other recommendations, before they can fully achieve this the College will need to stop supervisors from discouraging their students from being involved with anything not to do with the PhD.

The Union believes it should be providing support to a research group who play football or carry out any other extracurricular activities: by providing access to facilities, equipment or facilitating interactions with other, similar minded groups across the College. This could possibly be achieved by increasing the profile of the Graduate Students' Union and assisting the Constituent Union in applying for funding for more sports supplies.

To aid with this though, the Union needs a strong Graduate Students' Union, which requires postgraduate volunteers.

Being involved in the Union does not take up that much time, and students having a social life isn't a bad thing. Students involved in Union activities currently feel as though they have to hide this from their supervisor. A supervisor anecdotally doesn't mind the group all socialising together, but the feeling of imbalance if someone is committed to a sport too, say, despite them working late at other times is inflexible. The same holds true for supervisors who say to their students that School of Professional Development courses are to be disregarded outside the first year.

The Union needs to do more to advertise the availability of minibuses and other facilities to research groups so that they can benefit from these.



# Opportunities cont...

## RECOMMENDATION 14

The College currently links with four international Universities – MIT, NTU, HKU and Tsinghua University – to offer summer schools to research students. Although this is an aspirational request that the Union doesn't believe should be prioritised at the risk of lowering resources on other aspects of a course, it would be nice to develop this scheme further. There is clearly demand as 100

For the Union and College to jointly undertake research into whether increased capacity on international summer schools is a good use of money.

students applied for the 20 places on the MIT summer school and 3 of the summer schools reached capacity.

Research should be undertaken to determine whether or not students who attend these summer schools benefit from the experience. This should then feed into a plan for how placement funding should proceed in the future.

## RECOMMENDATION 15

The Union was pleased to hear about the development of a scholarships search engine for the College: to increase usability and relevance, the Union believes it would be good to include externally available scholarships in this. This search engine would then act as a 'one-stop-shop' for students to research

For the College to include external scholarships in the planned scholarships search engine.

funding possibilities and should probably (to avoid confusion) additionally show whether funding for consumables was included. The functionality to submit scholarships to the system would increase the quantity of information available.

## RECOMMENDATION 16

PhD students in the Physics and Electrical and Electronic Engineering (EEE) Departments were praised in the recent Student Academic Choice Awards as GTA photos were taken and presented in departments, to raise the profile of their GTA students. Both the Faculty of Engineering and Faculty of Natural Sciences hold GTA awards to recognise the achievements and contribution of their students. The EEE department have a student-written 'rating' platform to increase feedback between undergraduates and postgraduates. This

For the Union to encourage the College to do more to recognise the work of GTAs.

allows undergraduates to show their appreciation for the hard work their GTAs put in to their education and the College should consider implementing this across all departments.

Although this would be an improvement to only one aspect of 'feedback', it is important to note that between the 2011 and 2013 PRES Survey, participants satisfactory responses to "my institution values and responds to feedback from research degree students" on average declined.

# Overall Experience

80%  
SATISFACTION

BEST PRACTICE:

BioEngineering, Clinical Science, Earth Sciences & Engineering

## RECOMMENDATION 17

Both UCL and Kings College London now have writing up periods of one year automatically. Many of our students currently have to pay to keep their student status whilst they finish their qualification. The Union is keen to see a new standard writing up period across the whole Graduate School, to ensure there

For the College to extend the PhD writing up period to one year automatically.

is no disparity in experience between departments, and are supportive (through resolution of the Union Council) of the proposed paper to Senate making this change in the new academic year.

[https://www.imperialcollegeunion.org/your-union/how-were-run/committees/12-13/Union\\_Council/file/1832](https://www.imperialcollegeunion.org/your-union/how-were-run/committees/12-13/Union_Council/file/1832)

## RECOMMENDATION 18

Imperial College Union's stance on GradPad is broadly that it is too expensive and not satisfying the needs of all students: the portfolio and setup is not suitable for the diverse student body and their requirements. The Union would like the College to develop a Postgraduate Accommodation Strategy that incorporates the welfare needs faced by Postgraduate students, and provides a range of financially viable options.

For the College to provide affordable, suitable accommodation for postgraduate students.

The Union is bemused as to why international students are required to pay 12 months rent in advance. This is way beyond the sector norm, and renders GradPad inaccessible to some international students. The College needs to decide if it is running a commercial profit driven venture, or a service and to advertise truthfully on either of those.

[https://www.imperialcollegeunion.org/your-union/how-were-run/committees/12-13/Union\\_Council/file/2015](https://www.imperialcollegeunion.org/your-union/how-were-run/committees/12-13/Union_Council/file/2015)

# Overall Experience cont...

## RECOMMENDATION 19

The Union believes the discriminatory decision - despite funding and free use of space from the College – to refuse to see postgraduate students is wholly unacceptable. Postgraduate students studying at neighbouring institutions that live outside the postcode catchment area are still eligible for treatment, despite the resources given to the Health Centre by the College. The Union

For the College to work with the Health Centre to re-instate access for all postgraduate students.

believes the Health Centre should take greater responsibility for funding and student registration concerns they face, coupled with the poor satisfaction ratings received by the Health Centre in the recent Student Experience Survey. The Union supports and encourages urgent action by the College that could lead to provision for postgraduate students at the Health Centre being re-instated.

## RECOMMENDATION 20

The Union should review the weaknesses in its current provision, and the sabbatical officer oversight it affords to different student groups. The Union should consider adding support

For the Union should add more support for postgraduate students.

for postgraduates to its sabbatical structure through adding postgraduates specifically to one Deputy President remit.

# Overall Experience cont...

## RECOMMENDATION 21

The Union should change and improve the format of its mailing lists so that all postgraduate students may opt in/out from undergraduate mailing lists. Currently, an Earth Science &

For the Union should review its communications with postgraduate students.

Engineering Student will receive emails from the Union centrally and from the GSU (who they are represented by), but also by the Royal School of Mines and City & Guilds College Unions.

## RECOMMENDATION 22

Anecdotally a proportion of new PhD students aren't paid their bursary/stipend (or an incorrect amount) in their first month of starting. They cite the problem as the correct forms aren't being signed/authorised in time somewhere in the College system. Supervisors sometimes end up loaning their personal

For the College to support PhD students through their first month.

money to students to help them pay for accommodation as College Finance won't re-process the correct payments until the next month. It would appear that a more joined up system between departments, registry and finance would alleviate this problem.

		1. a. My supervisor/s have the skills and subject knowledge to support my research	1. b. I have regular contact with my supervisor/s, appropriate for my needs	1. c. My supervisor/s provide feedback that helps me direct my research activities	1. d. My supervisor/s help me to identify my training and development needs as a researcher	3. a. I have a suitable working space	3. b. There is adequate provision of computing resources and facilities	3. c. There is adequate provision of library facilities (including physical and online resources)	3. d. I have access to the specialist resources necessary for my research	5. a. My department provides a good seminar programme	5. b. I have frequent opportunities to discuss my research with other research students	5. c. The research ambience in my department or faculty stimulates my work	5. d. I have opportunities to become involved in the wider research community, beyond my department	7. a. I received an appropriate induction to my research degree programme	7. b. I understand the requirements and deadlines for formal monitoring of my progress
B	Business School	4.26	4.03	3.94	3.45	3.90	4.19	4.03	3.52	3.39	3.13	3.03	3.00	3.39	3.74
C	History*	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00
C	Humanities	4.90	4.70	4.70	4.40	3.90	4.20	3.80	3.80	4.40	3.60	3.90	3.70	4.00	4.50
E	Aeronautics	4.52	4.35	4.22	3.70	3.73	4.13	4.39	4.26	3.52	3.17	3.00	3.17	3.17	4.00
E	BioEngineering	4.51	4.43	4.43	4.10	4.63	4.33	4.73	4.47	4.20	4.06	4.19	3.76	4.29	4.52
E	Chemical Engineering	4.43	4.26	4.19	3.88	4.18	4.36	4.51	4.28	4.15	3.80	3.84	3.82	3.94	4.18
E	Civil Engineering	4.43	4.17	4.09	3.62	4.16	4.10	4.24	4.11	3.42	3.69	3.70	3.31	3.88	4.11
E	Computing	4.48	4.42	4.25	4.00	4.32	4.39	4.44	4.52	3.97	3.90	3.85	3.64	3.78	4.32
E	EEE	4.65	4.14	4.17	3.89	4.52	4.52	4.59	4.67	4.11	3.70	3.82	3.41	4.03	4.46
E	ESE	4.47	4.25	4.28	4.08	4.40	4.36	4.57	4.46	4.38	3.94	3.98	3.89	4.23	4.47
E	Materials	4.33	4.00	4.00	3.76	4.41	4.64	4.47	4.30	4.01	4.03	3.80	3.80	3.94	4.36
E	Mechanical Engineering	4.30	4.01	3.84	3.25	4.23	4.23	4.32	3.91	3.61	3.60	3.59	3.22	3.43	3.87
F	CEP	4.13	3.69	3.81	3.31	4.75	4.69	4.31	3.75	4.38	3.88	3.69	3.56	3.44	4.13
F	Cell & Molecular Biology	4.63	4.38	4.16	3.66	4.61	4.23	4.35	4.43	3.81	3.38	3.47	3.35	4.19	4.38
F	Chemistry	4.50	4.39	4.16	3.84	4.23	4.23	4.44	4.29	3.96	3.91	3.81	3.72	4.04	4.18
F	Ecology & Evolution	3.73	3.80	3.73	3.00	4.47	4.50	4.53	4.60	4.20	3.67	3.67	3.53	3.79	3.93
F	Mathematics	4.51	4.15	3.92	3.78	4.18	4.30	4.03	4.22	4.43	3.68	3.60	3.74	3.81	3.99
F	Molecular Biosciences	4.60	4.36	4.20	3.96	4.28	4.52	4.58	4.60	3.88	4.00	4.00	3.72	3.84	4.56
F	Physics	4.43	4.14	4.04	3.70	4.24	4.37	4.36	4.29	4.21	4.01	3.80	3.65	3.96	4.07
M	Clinical Science	4.83	4.61	4.39	4.00	4.89	4.56	4.56	4.72	4.28	3.89	3.67	3.67	4.11	4.56
M	Medicine	4.45	4.32	4.24	3.88	4.21	4.02	4.36	4.29	4.02	3.75	3.78	3.42	3.58	4.25
M	NHLI	4.53	4.34	4.20	3.94	4.24	4.05	4.39	4.29	3.88	3.92	3.80	3.50	3.92	4.28
M	School of Public Health	4.52	3.90	4.02	3.63	4.31	4.38	4.27	4.31	4.18	3.68	3.82	3.61	3.60	4.21
M	Surgery & Cancer	4.44	4.07	4.02	3.86	4.33	4.33	4.52	4.24	3.98	3.95	3.93	3.79	3.83	4.20
	Average	4.46	4.22	4.13	3.81	4.29	4.30	4.41	4.30	4.03	3.82	3.78	3.60	3.87	4.22

Comparable data by department, average of scores

7.c. I understand the required standard for my thesis	3.35	3.10	3.48	4.26	4.16	4.00	3.87	4.26	3.48	3.87	3.55	3.83	3.32	3.68	3.45	3.48
7.d. The final assessment procedures for my degree are clear to me	5.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
9.a. My institution values and responds to feedback from research degree students	4.50	4.67	3.00	4.40	4.40	4.00	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.60	4.10	4.50	4.60	4.11	3.80	4.10
9.b. I understand my responsibilities as a research degree student	3.65	3.61	3.17	4.13	4.17	3.48	4.30	4.18	3.74	3.83	4.04	4.17	3.70	3.91	3.96	4.13
9.c. I am aware of my supervisors' responsibilities towards me as a research degree student	4.10	4.10	3.67	4.38	4.33	4.50	4.54	4.53	4.35	4.51	4.20	4.21	3.90	4.21	4.33	4.25
9.d. Other than my supervisor/s, I know who to approach if I am concerned about any aspect of my degree programme	4.06	3.95	3.80	4.23	4.18	4.05	4.36	4.35	4.10	4.16	4.09	4.07	3.75	3.94	4.01	4.06
11.a. My skills in applying appropriate research methodologies, tools and techniques have developed during my programme	3.98	3.92	3.40	4.00	3.98	3.83	4.31	4.34	4.09	4.12	3.97	4.15	3.81	4.11	3.98	3.95
11.b. My skills in critically analysing and evaluating findings and results have developed during my programme	3.97	3.95	3.79	4.21	4.15	4.03	4.48	4.36	4.03	4.21	3.88	4.07	3.86	3.86	4.10	4.04
11.c. My confidence to be creative or innovative has developed during my programme	4.13	4.01	3.92	4.39	4.06	4.19	4.36	4.39	3.96	4.29	4.22	4.16	3.87	4.03	4.09	4.11
11.d. My understanding of 'research integrity' (e.g. rigour, ethics, transparency, attributing the contribution of others) has developed during my programme	4.20	4.15	4.02	4.26	4.09	3.88	4.56	4.55	4.30	4.30	4.24	4.19	4.07	4.26	4.26	4.26
13.a. My ability to manage projects has developed during my programme	3.97	3.83	3.77	4.16	4.10	3.91	4.38	4.29	4.10	4.23	4.23	4.38	3.93	4.22	4.04	4.00
13.b. My ability to communicate information effectively to diverse audiences has developed during my programme	3.59	3.68	3.43	4.00	3.77	3.55	4.25	4.29	3.90	4.04	3.93	3.80	3.61	3.96	3.76	3.75
13.c. I have developed contacts or professional networks during my programme	3.69	3.69	3.63	4.19	3.94	3.75	4.00	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.33	4.19	4.13	4.25	3.94	3.88
13.d. I have increasingly managed my own professional development during my programme	4.00	4.09	3.44	4.13	4.13	4.22	4.59	4.50	4.19	4.38	4.44	4.38	3.72	4.13	4.35	4.34
17.a. Overall, I am satisfied with the experience of my research degree programme	4.04	4.03	3.86	4.26	4.21	4.19	4.53	4.49	4.03	4.20	4.15	4.09	3.68	4.10	4.13	4.16
17.b. I am confident that I will complete my research degree programme within my institution's expected timescale	4.00	4.20	3.07	4.20	3.73	3.73	4.47	4.27	3.93	3.93	4.33	4.07	4.33	4.60	3.73	4.20
	3.87	3.72	3.81	4.15	3.94	3.94	4.28	4.22	3.79	3.96	3.74	3.90	3.79	3.79	3.97	4.04
	3.92	4.08	3.52	4.04	4.08	4.24	4.56	4.36	4.04	4.28	4.28	4.20	3.92	3.64	4.08	4.20
	3.81	3.73	3.31	4.04	3.94	4.12	4.43	4.34	3.98	3.98	3.98	4.09	3.86	3.97	3.94	3.93
	3.94	3.78	3.94	4.33	4.11	4.56	4.67	4.44	4.06	4.28	4.50	4.00	3.94	4.28	4.28	4.28
	3.85	3.72	3.69	4.12	4.12	3.63	4.36	4.35	3.93	4.25	4.27	4.20	3.88	4.19	3.98	4.07
	3.92	3.81	3.63	4.12	4.10	4.08	4.31	4.33	3.96	4.24	4.32	4.17	3.77	4.19	4.02	4.15
	3.78	3.80	3.57	4.16	3.82	3.65	4.38	4.37	4.02	4.18	4.21	3.98	4.00	4.12	4.04	4.00
	3.90	3.80	3.60	4.17	4.13	3.95	4.44	4.39	4.14	4.39	4.32	4.28	4.04	4.22	3.99	4.13
	3.93	3.86	3.65	4.17	4.07	3.97	4.39	4.37	4.03	4.19	4.13	4.12	3.85	4.07	4.03	4.06

		1. a. My supervisor/s have the skills and subject knowledge to support my research	1. b. I have regular contact with my supervisor/s, appropriate for my needs	1. c. My supervisor/s provide feedback that helps me direct my research activities	3. a. I have a suitable working space	3. b. There is adequate provision of computing resources and facilities	3. c. There is adequate provision of library facilities (including physical and online resources)	3. d. I have access to the specialist resources
B	Business School	0.225806	-0.06774	-0.06452	0.603226	0.426882	0.233333	-0.050
C	Humanities	0.4	-0.175	0.2	0.775	1.2	0.55	
E	Aeronautics	-0.15826	0.267826	0.217391	-0.11273	0.290435	0.307971	0.060
E	BioEngineering	-0.13496	0.105991	0.041475	0.439105	0.229756	0.301361	0.404
E	Chemical Engineering	-0.11718	0.263514	0.186207	0.039575	-0.09903	0.115452	0.291
E	Civil Engineering	-0.06046	0.046424	-0.01296	-0.1746	0.025063	-0.25313	0.040
E	Computing	0.130615	0.215355	0.269831	-0.00164	-0.18703	-0.07501	-0.074
E	EEE	-0.01282	0.095571	0.012821	0.04929	0.064442	0.200997	0.246
E	ESE	-0.0008	0.018294	0.195267	0.14655	-0.13422	-0.01686	0.096
E	Materials	-0.05007	0.019417	0.106796	0.355462	0.505602	0.177311	0.398
E	Mechanical Engineering	-0.21838	-0.21278	-0.25267	0.348163	0.069093	0.137483	0.145
F	CEP	0.066176	0.099265	0.125	1.338235	0.569853	-0.04044	-0.0
F	Cell & Molecular Biology	0.14881	0.232143	0.066052	0.27957	0.082949	-0.02611	0.570
F	Chemistry	-0.07471	0.32344	0.164557	0.236494	0.06985	0.022104	0.344
F	Ecology & Evolution	-0.69524	-0.24762	-0.1	0.076423	0.475	0.338211	0.502
F	Mathematics	-0.05254	-0.14848	0.16914	0.116471	-0.04149	0.029412	-0.10
F	Molecular Biosciences	-0.05217	-0.37913	-0.14783	-0.28522	0.128696	0.148551	-0.00
F	Physics	-0.19156	0.046083	0.118479	0.193014	0.269685	0.04842	0.278
M	Clinical Science	0.392157	0.405229	0.388889	0.683007	0.496732	0.526144	0.486
M	Medicine	0.092974	0.038399	0.298827	0.216993	-0.08905	0.068159	0.160
M	NHLI	0.060799	0.184211	0.342857	0.346642	0.016636	0.250953	0.181
M	School of Public Health	0.104597	0.078846	-0.05394	0.107692	0.159615	0.119231	0.157
M	Surgery & Cancer	0.00799	-0.0811	0.197105	0.399444	0.298851	0.231287	0.140
	<b>Average</b>	-0.00823	0.04905	0.107338	0.268529	0.209927	0.147601	0.188

Comparison between 2011 and 2013 average scores, and movement on identical/similar questions by department



	necessary for my research	5.a. My department provides a good seminar programme	5.c. The research ambience in my department or faculty stimulates my work	5.d. I have opportunities to become involved in the wider research community, beyond my department	7.b. I understand the requirements and deadlines for formal monitoring of my progress	7.c. I understand the required standard for my thesis	7.d. The final assessment procedures for my degree are clear to me	9.a. My institution values and responds to feedback from research degree students	9.b. I understand my responsibilities as a research degree student	9.c. I am aware of my supervisors' responsibilities towards me as a research degree student	Average
054	0.032258	-0.20108	-0.41935	0.258065	-0.22581	-0.25806	0.517204	0.624731	1.06129	0.168481	
0.3	1.15	1.025	0.7	0.75	0.75	0.916667	-1.375	0.4	0.65	0.513542	
087	-0.51826	-0.2	-0.02609	0.4	0.012174	0.088696	-0.02609	0.250435	0.613913	0.091768	
372	0.333114	0.542339	-0.14812	0.424059	0.200941	0.459005	-0.07527	0.245968	0.946237	0.269742	
813	0.036399	0.092759	-0.0135	0.134014	-0.05347	-0.02585	0.095918	0.117007	0.459036	0.095134	
936	-0.06935	0.084378	-0.14364	0.234375	-0.06826	-0.00675	-0.23475	-0.01754	0.510691	-0.00622	
411	0.065245	0.219315	-0.19444	0.454603	0.391207	0.480089	-0.11246	0.11531	0.383243	0.130008	
544	0.283155	-0.01282	-0.35251	0.273878	0.065976	0.103896	-0.27292	0.130867	0.290564	0.072939	
242	0.08308	0.044782	-0.24195	0.50922	0.329583	0.515548	0.423123	0.264151	0.511246	0.171454	
039	0.24958	0.113725	0.103922	0.288515	0.059664	-0.1028	0.104762	0.098319	0.394118	0.176398	
502	0.15415	0.179144	-0.10079	-0.15316	-0.47398	-0.27339	-0.33266	-0.25	-0.02258	-0.07855	
0.25	1.139706	0.6875	0.738971	0.830882	-0.07721	0.040441	0.448529	0.363971	0.643382	0.420267	
519	0.0625	0.11875	0.204839	0.089286	-0.09524	0.09375	-0.18155	0.363095	0.509985	0.157814	
971	0.19191	0.228731	0.031864	0.224274	0.084938	0.083112	0.161351	0.285489	0.67227	0.190665	
439	-0.0381	0	-0.0381	-0.18571	0.071429	0.395122	-0.57619	0.247619	0.066667	0.018247	
265	0.366471	-0.06299	0.095294	0.597539	0.207647	0.220588	0.248824	0.447059	0.681176	0.173217	
087	-0.12	-0.21739	-0.06261	0.212174	0.137391	0.297391	-0.52348	-0.13391	0.123478	-0.05517	
555	0.232686	0.102318	-0.11127	0.294129	0.066706	0.14775	-0.19482	0.151277	0.30348	0.109684	
928	0.429293	0.151515	0.242424	0.525253	0.247475	0.171717	0.267974	0.039216	0.199346	0.353331	
784	0.460261	0.240086	-0.06403	0.330504	0.14183	0.122628	0.152798	0.067908	0.583556	0.176414	
593	0.200739	0.201754	-0.2193	0.405993	0.272616	0.279745	0.04714	0.190865	0.639163	0.212657	
592	0.054519	-0.3472	-0.46533	0.235929	0.174558	0.316117	0.153993	0.330732	0.335725	0.091423	
454	0.212898	0.271657	0.131666	0.076478	0.021083	-0.00868	0.333752	0.153783	0.540926	0.182975	
184	0.217055	0.141838	-0.01531	0.313491	0.097446	0.17638	-0.0413	0.195058	0.482474	0.158096	

**Imperial College Union**

Beit Quadrangle  
Prince Consort Road  
London  
SW7 2BB

Tel: 020 7594 8060  
Fax: 020 7594 8065  
Email: [union@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:union@imperial.ac.uk)  
Twitter: @icunion  
**[imperialcollegeunion.org](http://imperialcollegeunion.org)**

